

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

DIRECTV, Inc., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

PAUL SAVVAS,

Defendant.

Case No. **12406 WGY**  
**COMPLAINT FOR  
COMPENSATORY, STATUTORY  
AND OTHER DAMAGES, AND FOR  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

MAGISTRATE JUDGE *Eden*

RECEIPT # *60042*

AMOUNT \$ *50*

SUMMONS ISSUED *YES*

LOCAL RULE 4.1 *YES*

WAIVER FORM *YES*

MCF ISSUED *YES*

BY DPTY. CLK. *FWM*

DATE *11/12/04*

Plaintiff, DIRECTV, Inc., through its attorneys, alleges as follows:

**INTRODUCTION:**

**DIRECTV AND THE SATELLITE  
TELEVISION BROADCASTING BUSINESS**

i) Plaintiff, DIRECTV, a California company, operates the United States' premier digital satellite entertainment service, delivering over 225 channels of digital entertainment and informational programming to homes and businesses equipped with specialized digital satellite system equipment. DIRECTV has invested billions of dollars to develop its direct broadcast satellite system.

2. DIRECTV delivers television programming to 13 million subscribers in the United States. In order to receive and view DIRECTV's satellite signal, each subscriber must be equipped with DIRECTV satellite system hardware, which consists of a satellite dish, a DIRECTV integrated receiver/decoder ("IRD") and a DIRECTV access card that is necessary to operate the IRD. Through this technology, DIRECTV offers programming including major cable networks, studio movies and special events offered on a pay-per-view basis, local network channels in select areas, and a variety of other

sports and special interest programs and packages, some of which DIRECTV has the exclusive right to broadcast via satellite.

3. DIRECTV does sell and distribute DIRECTV satellite system hardware. . . . DIRECTV sells programming, most of which it purchases from program providers such as cable networks, motion picture distributors, sports leagues, event promoters, and other programming copyright holders. DIRECTV contracts and pays for the right to distribute the programming to its subscribers, and holds exclusive satellite distribution rights in certain of the programming. DIRECTV also creates its own original content programming, for which DIRECTV owns the copyright.

4. DIRECTV provides different levels of programming to its customers based on the particular subscription package that DIRECTV subscribers purchase. DIRECTV encrypts its satellite transmissions and employs conditional access technology to prevent unauthorized access to its television programming by non-subscribers. The conditional access technology relies in part on "access cards" that are provided to consumers as components of the digital satellite system equipment and which, upon activation by DIRECTV, decrypt DIRECTV's programming and permit the consumer to access and view it. The software code contained in the access cards protects DIRECTV's programming against unauthorized access.

5. Each DIRECTV customer is required to obtain a DIRECTV access card and other system hardware (including a small satellite dish) and create an account with DIRECTV. Upon activation of the access card by DIRECTV, the customer can receive and view in a decrypted format (*i.e.*, unscrambled) those channels to which the customer has subscribed or otherwise made arrangement to purchase from DIRECTV.

6. Consumers who have purchased digital satellite system equipment can subscribe to various packages of DIRECTV programming, for which the subscriber pays a periodic fee, usually monthly. Subscribers can also order pay-per-view events and movies either by using an on-screen menu and a hand-held remote control device, or by calling DIRECTV and ordering the program over the telephone.

#### **DIRECTV'S SECURITY SYSTEM**

7. All programming distributed by DIRECTV is delivered to one or both of DIRECTV's broadcast centers in Castle Rock, Colorado, and Los Angeles, California. At the broadcast centers, DIRECTV digitizes and compresses the programming, and encrypts the signal that is sent to its subscribers to prevent receipt of the programming without authorization. DIRECTV then transmits the encrypted signal to multiple satellites located in orbit approximately 22,300 miles above the earth.

8. The satellites relay the encrypted signal back to Earth, where it can be received by DIRECTV's subscribers equipped with DIRECTV satellite system hardware. The satellite receiving dishes can be mounted on a rooftop, windowsill or deck railing at the subscriber's home or business. The signal is received by the dish and transmitted by wire to the IRD. The IRD (boxes that are approximately the size of a VCR player) acts like a computer which processes the incoming signal using the credit card sized access card.

9. After a customer installs the dish, IRD, and access card at his or her home or business, the access card blocks access to DIRECTV programming until the customer purchases one or more programming packages from DIRECTV. When the customer subscribes to a package, DIRECTV electronically activates the subscriber's access card

in accordance with that subscription. The access card then acts as a reprogrammable microprocessor and uses "smart card" technology to (a) control which DIRECTV programming the subscriber is permitted to view, and (b) capture and transmit to DIRECTV the subscriber's impulse pay-per-view information.

10. Because DIRECTV generates its revenues through sales of subscription packages, it must be able to condition access to programming on the purchase of legitimate subscriptions. Accordingly, DIRECTV devotes substantial resources to the continued development and improvement of its conditional access system.

11. DIRECTV's need to develop increasingly sophisticated security measures is driven by the actions of satellite television "pirates." Satellite pirates endeavor to circumvent DIRECTV's security measures to gain unlimited access to all DIRECTV programming, including pay-per-view events, without paying a fee. Because the access cards are part of the primary security mechanism relied on by DIRECTV, the modification of access cards using various hardware and software devices primarily designed and/or marketed to disable the access cards' security features (hereinafter referred to as "Pirate Access Devices") is the primary focus of satellite piracy.

12. As part of its ongoing effort to prevent piracy, DIRECTV periodically updates its access cards to improve both functionality and security controls. DIRECTV's most recent generation of access cards are commonly referred to as "P4" and "D1" cards. Prior generations of access cards are commonly known as "H" or "P2" and "HU" or "P3" cards.

13. As part of its efforts to combat piracy, DIRECTV periodically develops and administers electronic countermeasures, which are commonly referred to in the

satellite piracy community as “ECMs.” ECMs involve sending a stream of data that targets access cards using known modified software code and disables those access cards.

14. In response to DIRECTV’s anti-piracy efforts, including DIRECTV’s ECMs, satellite pirates have developed devices referred to as, among other things, bootloaders, dead processor boot boards, glitchers, HU loaders, emulators, and unloopers, that employ hardware and software in combination to restore pirate access cards’ ability to illegally circumvent DIRECTV’s encryption protection and view DIRECTV programming.

15. DIRECTV’s ability to attract and retain subscriber revenues and goodwill, and distribution rights for copyrighted programming, is dependent upon maintaining and securing the integrity of its programming, technology and products, including the access cards and copyrighted programming, and in prohibiting unauthorized reception and use of its protected communications.

### **PARTIES**

16. On November 14, 2002 and March 5, 2003, DIRECTV executed Writs of Seizure, with the assistance of local law enforcement, at the mail shipping facilities used by a major source of pirate technology known as H-Card.org. DIRECTV’s raid revealed information related to H-Card.org and its customers.

Pursuant to the lawsuit and the raid, DIRECTV obtained various business records evidencing the ongoing illegitimate enterprise, including orders, invoices, electronic communications, shipping documentation, purchase receipts, credit card receipts and customer lists. Each record confirmed the existence of a distribution source for the country-wide transmission of devices primarily designed for the unauthorized

interception of DIRECTV's Satellite Programming. Indeed, among those familiar with the willfully corrupt practice of designing, manufacturing and using electronic devices to misappropriate DIRECTV's Satellite Programming, H-Card.org was widely known as a distributor and marketer of devices whose primary purpose is to facilitate the illegal interception of DIRECTV satellite programming without authorization.

H-Card.org customers ordinarily placed orders over a website operated H-Card.org, aptly named "h-card.org." Pertinently, the business records obtained pursuant to the raid evidence each Defendant's purchases of Pirate Access Devices from H-Card.org, and in reliance on those records and other information, and upon information and belief, DIRECTV brings this lawsuit against the Defendants for their purchase, possession, modification, manufacture, assembly and/or use of Pirate Access Devices.

The Defendants' activities violate federal telecommunication and wiretapping laws and state statutory and common law. As a result of the Defendants' decisions to obtain Pirate Access Devices and the detrimental impact that such activities have on the company, DIRECTV brings this action seeking damages and injunctive relief against Defendants' continued possession and/or use of Pirate Access Devices.

17. Plaintiff, DIRECTV, Inc., is a corporation duly incorporated under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 2230 East Imperial Highway, El Segundo, California. DIRECTV has significant interests in maintaining and securing the integrity of its satellite transmissions of television programming, and in prohibiting the unauthorized reception and use of the same.

18. Defendant is currently a resident of this District and/or was a resident of this District when this cause of action arose. DIRECTV alleges that Defendant has

purchased and used illegally modified DIRECTV Access Cards and other devices ("Pirate Access Devices") that were designed to permit viewing of DIRECTV's television programming without authorization by or payment to DIRECTV.

19. Defendant, PAUL SAVVAS ("SAVVAS"), is a resident of Bradford, MA. Upon information and belief, SAVVAS purchased one or more Pirate Access Devices from one or more pirate device dealers as described below. SAVVAS placed each order by using interstate or foreign wire facilities, and received his orders via the United States Postal Service or commercial mail carriers. Specifically, these illegal purchases included the following transactions:

a. On or about April 16, 2002, SAVVAS purchased one (1) Scorpion XP 4 in 1 from Hcard. The order was shipped to a commercial address associated with the Defendant in Haverhill, MA.

20. Upon information and belief, the Defendant manufactured, assembled, used and/or ed one or more Pirate Access Devices, knowing or having reason to know that the design of such devices rendered them primarily useful for the purpose of surreptitious interception of DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming, and knowing or having reason to know that such devices, or any components thereof, had been sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce. Furthermore, Defendant used the Pirate Access Device(s) to intentionally intercept, endeavor to intercept DIRECTV's electronic communications without authorization.

21. At all times material hereto, Defendant possessed the requisite digital system hardware ("DSS Hardware"), including satellite dish, integrated receiver/decoder

(“IRD”), and/or programming, required to use the illegally modified DIRECTV access card, through which Defendant actually and intentionally intercepted DIRECTV’s encrypted satellite transmissions of television programming without authorization or payment to DIRECTV in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605 and 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521.

22. Defendant’s actions violate the Federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 605; and the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (“Federal Wiretap Laws”), 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. DIRECTV brings this action to restrain these illegal activities against it.

### **SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION**

23. This action arises under the Federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 605; and the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (“Federal Wiretap Laws”), 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521.

24. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction and supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(a), and the Wiretap Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2520(a).

### **VENUE**

25. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because the Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District by virtue of Defendant’s residence in the District. Additionally, venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

### **COUNT I** **UNAUTHORIZED RECEPTION OF SATELLITE SIGNALS IN VIOLATION 47 U.S.C. § 605(a)**



26. Plaintiff DIRECTV repeats and re-alleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 25 as if set forth fully herein.

27. Defendant has received DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming without authorization, in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605(a).

28. Defendant's violations have injured DIRECTV by depriving DIRECTV of subscription and pay-per-view revenues and other valuable consideration, compromising DIRECTV's security and accounting systems, infringed DIRECTV's trade secrets and proprietary information, and interfered with DIRECTV's contractual and prospective business relations.

29. Defendant knew or should have known that receiving DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming without authorization by or payment to DIRECTV was and is illegal and prohibited. Such violations have caused DIRECTV irreparable harm, and DIRECTV has no adequate remedy at law to redress any such continued violations.

**COUNT II**  
**UNAUTHORIZED INTERCEPTION OF ELECTRONIC**  
**COMMUNICATIONS**  
**IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1)**

30. Plaintiff DIRECTV repeats and re-alleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 29 as if set forth fully herein.

31. Civil causes of action for violation(s) of 18 U.S.C. § 2511 are expressly authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 2520.

32. By using Pirate Access Devices for purposes of decryption and viewing of DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming, Defendant intentionally

intercepted, endeavored to intercept DIRECTV's satellite transmission of television programming, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2511(1)(a).

33. Defendant's violations have injured DIRECTV by depriving DIRECTV of subscription and pay-per-view revenues and other valuable consideration, compromising DIRECTV's security and accounting systems, infringed DIRECTV's trade secrets and proprietary information, and interfered with DIRECTV's contractual and prospective business relations.

34. Defendant knew or should have known that such interception of DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming was and is illegal and prohibited. Such violations have caused DIRECTV irreparable harm, and DIRECTV has no adequate remedy at law to redress any such violations.

**COUNT III**  
**ASSEMBLY, MANUFACTURE AND/OR MODIFICATION OF DEVICES  
OR EQUIPMENT IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 47 U.S.C. § 605**

35. DIRECTV alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 38 above.

36. The Defendant assembled, manufactured and/or modified existing equipment knowing or having reason to know that the device(s) thereby created were primarily of assistance in the unauthorized interception or reception of the Plaintiff's satellite television programming in violation of Title 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(4) and Title 47 U.S.C. § 605(a).

37. DIRECTV is a person aggrieved by the Defendant's violations of the Title 47 U.S.C. § 605 and is authorized to bring this action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 605 (e)(3)(A).

38. The satellite transmissions that make up DIRECTV's signal include interstate radio communications that are protected by Title 47 U.S.C. § 605.

39. The Defendant assembled, manufactured or modified the Pirate Access Devices

willfully and knowingly in violation of Title 47 U.S.C. § 605.

40. DIRECTV did not authorize or consent to the Defendant's assembly, manufacture or modification of the Pirate Access Devices.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff DIRECTV requests that this Court grant the following relief:

(1) Find the Defendant's conduct in assembling, manufacturing, and using Pirate Access Devices violates 47 U.S.C. § 605(a) and (e)(4), 18 U.S.C. §§ 2511(1)(a) and further find that Defendant's violations were willful, malicious or for a tortious or illegal purpose;

(2) In accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(i) and 18 U.S.C. § 2520(b)(1) enjoin and restrain Defendant, and persons controlled directly and indirectly by Defendant, from assembling, manufacturing or using Pirate Access Devices, and further order Defendant to surrender all Pirate Access Devices;


(3) In the event of a default, an award of statutory damages of \$10,000 for each Pirate Access Device used and/or modified or assembled in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605 and/or statutory damages of \$10,000.00 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2520(c)(2), and a further award of DIRECTV's reasonable attorneys' fees in the amount of \$850 and costs;

(4) In the event of trial or summary judgment, an award of either (a) statutory damages in accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II) and 18 U.S.C. § 2520(c)(2) or (b) compensatory and punitive damages in accordance with 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(I), and 18 U.S.C. § 2520(c)(2); and DIRECTV's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii) and 18 U.S.C. § 2520(b)(3).

(5) For such additional relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

11/11/04

Date

  
John M. McLaughlin (BBO: 556328)  
Green, Miles, Lipton & Fitz-Gibbon  
77 Pleasant Street  
P.O. Box 210  
Northampton, MA 01061  
(413) 586-0865  
Fax (413) 584-6278  
[jmclaughlin@greenmiles.com](mailto:jmclaughlin@greenmiles.com)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS1. TITLE OF CASE (NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE ONLY) DIRECTV, Inc. vs. Paul Savvas

2. CATEGORY IN WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL COVER SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).

— I. 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.

XX II. 195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820\*, 830\*, 840\*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.

\*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases

— III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.

— IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.

— V. 150, 152, 153.

3. TITLE AND NUMBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(G)). IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATED CASE HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE AND NUMBER OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT.

None4. HAS A PRIOR ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT?  
YES ☐ NO ☒

5. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE PUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC §2403)

YES ☐ NO ☒

IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY?

YES ☐ NO ☒

6. IS THIS CASE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 USC §2284?

YES ☐ NO ☒7. DO ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE SAME DIVISION? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).YES ☒ NO ☐1. IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE?EASTERN DIVISION ☒CENTRAL DIVISION ☐WESTERN DIVISION ☐

2. IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE?

EASTERN DIVISION ☐CENTRAL DIVISION ☐WESTERN DIVISION ☐

(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)

ATTORNEY'S NAME John M. McLaughlinADDRESS MCLAUGHLIN SACKS, LLC, 31 Trumbull Rd., Northampton, MA 01060TELEPHONE NO. (413) 586-0865

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM)

## DIRECTV, Inc.

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Los Angeles  
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)

John M. McLaughlin  
77 Pleasant Street, P.O. Box 210  
Northampton, MA 01061-0210 (413) 586-8218

**DEFENDANTS**

**Paul Sayvas**

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT Essex  
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  
NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

## II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION

(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- |                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question<br>(U.S. Government Not a Party) |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity<br>(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)   |

### III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES

(For Diversity Cases Only)

5 (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF  
AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT )

	PTF DEF		PTF DEF
Citizen of This State	1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	4
Citizen of Another State	2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	Foreign Nation	6

## IV. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT	TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STAT'DIES
10 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	10 Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	100 State Reapportionment
20 Marine	110 Airplane	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	20 Other Food & Drug		110 Antitrust
130 Miller Act	115 Airplane Product Liability	65 Personal Injury - Product Liability	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	130 Banks and Banking
40 Negotiable Instrument	320 Assault Libel & Slander	168 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	30 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	150 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.
50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	330 Federal Employers Liability		40 R.R. & Truck		160 Deportation
151 Medicare Act	340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPERTY	50 Airline Regs.		170 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product Liability	170 Other Fraud	180 Occupational Safety/Health	320 Copyrights	190 Selective Service
53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	171 Truth In Lending	190 Other	330 Patent	350 Securities/Commodities/Exchange
160 Stockholders Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	380 Other Personal Property Damage	LABOR	340 Trademark	375 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Damage Product Liability	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	SOCIAL SECURITY	391 Agricultural Acts
195 Contract Product Liability			20 Labor/Mgmt Relations		392 Economic Stabilization Act
			730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act		393 Environmental Matters
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	740 Railway Labor Act	61 HIA (1395ff)	394 Energy Allocation Act
10 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	90 Other Labor Litigation	62 Black Lung (923)	395 Freedom of Information Act
20 Foreclosure	442 Employment	HABEAS CORPUS:	791 Empl Ret Inc. Security Act	63 DIWC/DIWWV(405(g))	300 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice
30 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing Accommodations	530 General		64 SSID Title XVI	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
40 Torts to Land	44 Welfare	335 Death Penalty		65 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions
45 Tort Product Liability	440 Other Civil Rights	340 Mandamus & Other		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	
190 All other Real Property		550 Civil Rights		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	
		55 Prison Condition		871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	

## V. ORIGIN

(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- |   |   |  |   |  |   |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstated or Reopened | <input type="checkbox"/> Transferred from another district (specify) | <input type="checkbox"/> Multidistrict Litigation | <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|

## VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

(CITE THE U. S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE  
DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY.)

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION  
UNDER F.R.C.P 23

DEMAND \$  
\$10,000.00

CHECK YES only if demanded in Complaint  
JURY DEMAND: YES ☐ NO ☒

**VIII. RELATED CASE(S)** (See instructions):  
IF ANY, \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD
------	--	---------------------------------

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # \_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT ✓ \_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP \_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ MAG JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_